1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	TRI-DAM,	Case No. 1:23-cv-01204-EPG
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE: STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL
12	v.	WITHOUT PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii)
13	KHALID JOSEPH MAYAR,	(ECF No. 17).
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	On Man C 2024 the matter filed a lei-	
17	On May 6, 2024, the parties filed a joint stipulation for dismissal with prejudice pursuant	
18	to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). (ECF No. 17). The parties' stipulation states	
19	that the parties have agreed that the Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement	
20	agreement. (ECF No. 17 at 1; <i>see also id.</i> at 4-11, Exhibit A, "Compromise Settlement Agreement and Mutual General Release of All Claims" ¶ 16).	
21	In light of the parties' stipulation (ECF No. 17), this action has been terminated, Fed. R.	
22	Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), and has been dismissed with prejudice.	
23	Further, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement agreement	
24	for no longer than six months from the date of this order. See Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co	
25	of Am., 511 U.S. 375, 381-82 (1994)) (district courts may retain ancillary jurisdiction to enforce	
26	settlement agreements).	
27		
28	¹ The Court declines to retain jurisdiction indefinitely.	

Case 1:23-cv-01204-EPG Document 18 Filed 05/09/24 Page 2 of 2 Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 8, 2024